



Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Claim 16, and dependent claims 17-21, were discussed in view of the prior art of record listed above. In particular, claim 16 was discussed in view of Fig. 32 of Goldenberg ('330), Fig. 6A of Mueller ('521), and Figs. 13I, 14 and 18 of Kittrell ('043). The examiner further indicated that claims 16-21 are directed to a different embodiment of the invention shown in Fig. 13 of the instant application. Applicant's representative cancelled claims 16-21 in favor of continued/divisional application. He authorized the examiner to cancel the claims by Examiner's Amendment (EA). See the attached EA..